DEECA implementation advice ove reference to open space being amenity to reflect conservation areas being part of open space ne local convenience centre
 activity centre catchment
 (NAC/MAC: 400m; LCC: 50m) Pedestrian bridge in legend should be shown as indicative.

Remove wording 'unless otherwise agreed by the responsible authority' Plan 4 Movement Network 3.4.2 R25 Conservation areas identified in Plan 6 Public Realm must be retained in accordance with this plan, the Biodiversity Conservation Strategy (BCS) and relevant Commonwealth and State government legislation and policies unless otherwise agreed by the Responsible Authority. VPA should consider following changes: - remove '(vegetation that must be retained)' from the conservation area layer. - Consider only showing 'scattered trees that must be retained (BCS timestamped veg)' where they exist outside of the patches of native vegetation to reflect that by definition in the Victorian native vegetation regulations Scattered trees only exist outside remnant patches of native vegetation. - Consider further clarifying what the 'vegetation that must be retained' represents (ie are they scattered trees)? -Plan 8 Consider clarifying that 'vegetation patch' are 'native vegetation patch' in the legend. THAT IS parcel boundary Add in reference to Seasonal Herbaceous Wetlands as below" Integrated water management systems should be designed to ergible connection for the G47 supply of treated stormwater for the Growling Grass Frog wedland 1 thin the BCS conservation area in accordance with Melbourne Water and the DEECA. Michael Ward 1:40 PM To below dot point add that any change to the cross section needs to be subject to agreement with DEECA Taylors Road & Conservation area/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane Flexibility in the provision of cross sections within the PSP is allowed as long as the
individual modal elements are all provided in a similar manger within each cross secti
and subject to agreement with the relevant road authorities and responsible authority 83 Taylors Road & Conservation Remove dot point "this cross section can be varied where appropriate adjacent to waterways and conservation areas. The local frontage road may not be required". This is rea/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane misleading and the provision of flexibility is covered by dot point above. Taylors Road & Conservation Note on coservation boundary fencing should be replaced with following wording: "Conservation area boundary must be delineated by fencing that restricts vehicle access and area/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane directs pedestrian movement to specified access points to DEECA's satisfaction" 83 Taylors Road & Conservation Note should be added that "Fencing must be constructed in accredance with the recommendations in DEECA requirements for permanent fencing around conservation areas area/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane under the Melbourne Strategic Ass (34 metres) 83 Taylors Road & Conservation area/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane Note should be added stating "All necessary bushfire setbacks must be located outside the conservation area." Appendix 6, Table 28, row 1 Reference in row 1 that the plan is subject to change should be removed (as below). R27 gives sufficient room for flexibility (ie unless otherwise agreed to be DEECA). The plan may be subject to change. The drainage assets/waterways may be further refined by Melbourne Water. Appendix 6. Table 28. row 3 On further consideration DEECA's preference for wording for row 3 is "all necessary firebreaks must be achieved outside the conservation area" A 19m fuel reduction setback must be provided to conservation areas – Refer to Streetscape Cross Section Local Access Street residential – Conservation Area interface, Bushfire Hazard Buffer Treatment – Local Access 1 and Cross Section Primary Arterial 4 Lane (34m). 90, 91, 92 Appendix 6 Figure 4, 5 & 6 Pedestrian bridge' and 'Waterway & drainage within conservation area' should be shown as indicative. Local Access Street residential -The wording wording "minimum bushfire setback distance of BAL 12.5 according to management proposed in conservation area" is ambigous and potentially infers that bushfire setbacks may be pushed into the conservation area. DEECA reco Conservation Area Interface 19.0m FUEL REDUCTION AREA
MINIMUM BUSHFIRE SETBACK DISTANCE OF BAL 12.5 ACCORDING Request below reference to 'fire breaks' be changed to 'bushfire setbacks'. The term bushfire setback has more meaning for subdivision process. Local Access Street residential -Conservation Area Interface All necessary fire breaks must be outside of the conservation area. Local Access Street residential -We request that note on coservation boundary fending to be replaced with following wording: "Conservation area boundary must be delineated by fencing that restricts vehicle

access and directs pedestrian movement to specified access points do DEECA's satisfaction"

Conservation Area Interface

- 23 Local Access Street residential -Conservation Area Interface
- Remove reference to MSA Growling Grass Frog Crossing Design Standards in dot point regarding street lights (as below).
 - Street lights installed in the nature strip must provide lighting for both the road and shared path and be wildlife sensitive lighting to assist reducing light spill into the Conservation Area, in-
- 94 Taylors Road & Conservation N area/waterway - Primary arterial 4 lane

Note that this is interface plan is a duplicate from page 83.

(34 metres)

Open Space - Conservatin Area

Fencing note below be changed to read: "Conservation area boundary must be delineated by fencing or bollards to DEECA's satisfaction." This is to reflect that where the conservation area adjoins open space, that full fencing may not be required to keep out vehicles and bollards may be sufficient to delineate the boundary..



ESO 5 (ordinance)

We would like to request that the entirety of the conservation area be covered by the ESO Schedule 6 in the Metton Planning Scheme. This is contrary to our previous advice and we apologise for this. The only difference between ESO 5 & ESO 6 is that ESO 6 does not have the planning permit exemption for the removal of 'non-native vegetation' which is the reason we would like it to apply to the Conservation Area.

ESO 5 (mapping)

To reflect the above we would like to have ESO 6 applied to the whole of the conservation area and and have ESO 5 removed.

UGZ schefule 13

DEECA recommends the following note be included in the UGZ schedule outlining landowner responsibilities for managing risks associated with animal welfare. If it is not possible to add as a permit note DEECA suggests adding similar wording as guidance in the PSP document.

Permit note

Kangaroos occupy land within the Melton East Precinct Structure Plan boundary. Landowners are responsible for managing risks caused by wildlife on their land and for ensuring land use change (kangaroo labitat removal) does not contravene the Wildlife Act 1975 and Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1980.

Annuals Act 1980.

Landowners must undertake proper due diligence for kangaroo management and prior to the commencement of works determine whether kangaroos occupy their property or adjoining properties. If kangaroos are present, a plan to mitigate wildlife welfare risks during land use change should be developed.

Authorisation from the Department of Energy Environment and Climate Action is required under the Wildlife Act 1975 for any proposal to undertake lethal control, wilful disturbance or trapping of wildlife.